## Original Application No. 60/2013 Jagannath Mane Vs. Union of India & Ors

## **CORAM :** HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALIP SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. P.S.RAO, EXPERT MEMBER

PRESENT : Applicant : Respondent No. 1 : Respondent No. 2, 3, 4 & 5 : Shri Shekhar Sharma, Advocate Shri Om. S. Shrivastava, Advocate Shri Sachin K.Verma, Advocate Shri Ayush Dev Bajpai, Advocate Shri S.A.Sobhani, Advocate

**Respondent No. 6, 7 & 8 :** 

Date and	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks	
Order no. 5	The Counsel for the applicant has filed a rejoinder. The same
10 <sup>th</sup> October, 2013	be taken on record.
	The Learned Counsel Shri Sachin K.Verma appearing for the
	State of Madhya Pradesh submits that a similar issue has arisen in
	Original Application No. 171/2013 in the case of Bar Association,
1.1	National Green Tribunal Vs. Union of India before the Principal
11.	Bench, NGT, New Delhi in which the Principal Bench after hearing
	the arguments, reserved the Judgement on 24.09.2013 and the same
	is likely to come soon and as such we may await the Judgement of
1.1	the Principal Bench, NGT as the issues involved therein are similar
	to the present case.
	Having heard the Learned Counsel for the parties, we are of the
	view that the case may be adjourned as prayed.
	The Counsel for the MoEF, respondent no. 1 shall file the reply
	in the meantime as the same has not been filed till date.
	In the meanwhile the respondent project proponents to whom
	the contracts and mining leases have been awarded by the State,
	shall not carry out any mining activity without having obtained the
	Environmental Clearance from the competent authority in
	accordance with law.
	We may however, make it clear that since the project
	proponents have been awarded contracts by the State for

